

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:)	Customer No.: 29000
)	
Prasad RAM, et al.)	Confirmation No.: 9903
)	
Serial No.: 10/775,383)	Group Art Unit: 2193
)	
Filed: February 10, 2004)	Examiner: Chavis, John Q.
)	
For: DYNAMIC SOFTWARE)	
COMPOSITION IN A)	Office Action mailed:
COMPONENT-BASED)	
SOFTWARE SYSTEM)	October 18, 2007

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.111

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper responds to the Office Action dated October 18, 2007.

Claims 1-10 are pending. Initially, Applicants gratefully acknowledge the indication of allowability of claims 3-8¹, subject to being rewritten in independent form. Applicants have done so, and it is believed that these claims stand in condition for final allowance. Claims 1-2 (see footnote 1 below) and 9-10, however, presently stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as allegedly anticipated by Mahapatro (U.S. Patent 6,571,215). Without acquiescence in

¹ The Office Action summary indicates claims 4-8 as allowable (i.e., objected to); however, the body of the Office Action (page 3) indicates claims 3-8 as being allowable. Because the Office Action does not specify a rejection of claim 3, it is assumed that the summary is erroneous.

the grounds of rejection or prejudice to pursue at a later time by continuation application or otherwise, and merely to expedite prosecution of this application, Applicants have canceled independent claim 1, rewritten the remaining claims in independent form as appropriate, and amended claims 2 and 9-10 to depend from allowable claim 3. It is believed that all of the pending claims are presently in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, please amend this application as shown herein.

Summary of Claims

Pending:	1 – 10
Amended:	2, 3, 9, 10
Canceled:	1
Unchanged:	4-8

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 6 of this paper.